

# **Carbon Debt Analysis**

HDR completed a carbon debt analysis for the North Stonington, Connecticut (Project). This analysis compares the anticipated reduction in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from an activity compared to an associated temporary or permanent increase in GHG emissions (referred to as carbon debt). The Project will reduce GHG emissions by displacing electricity produced by natural gas-powered generation facilities with electricity produced by the photovoltaic system. Construction of the Project will require clearing 43.6 acres of forested land, thereby releasing stored carbon from the five carbon stocks of an established forest (aboveground biomass, belowground biomass, dead wood, litter and soil organic carbon) as well as preventing these trees from storing carbon over the life of the Project. The purpose of this analysis is to determine the net impact of adding solar electricity to the power grid and clearing a forested area from the Project area.

### **Avoided Emissions**

Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions displaced by the Project are calculated by using output emission rates for natural gas for the state of Connecticut. The output emission rates are obtained from the USEPA's Emissions and Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID) 2019 data¹. The output emission rate for natural gas is not specific to peak load output; however, it is considered representative because it is anticipated that the operation of the photovoltaic system will displace the production of electricity using natural gas facilities. Total GHG emissions are expressed as carbon dioxide equivalent (CO₂e), which represents the cumulative impact of multiple greenhouse gases taking into account varying global warming potential, expressed as the amount of CO₂ that would create the same amount of warming. This analysis is not a lifecycle GHG emissions analysis and does not consider all upstream, operational and downstream effects of the Project or existing power generation resources on the regional grid.

Based on these estimations, the Project will displace 6,870 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub>e in the first year of operation. Over the 40-year expected life of the Project approximately 249,633 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub>e will be avoided.

# **Loss of Carbon Sequestration**

Land use changes associated with the project, specifically the clearing of 43.6 acres of forested land, will cause an initial release of stored carbon at the time the forest is cleared. Clearing the forests releases the carbon that has already been stored by the forest system in the form of biomass (in four different stocks) and soil organic carbon. When the forest is cleared, the stored carbon is released. This value was calculated using a United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) conversion factor of 126.57 metric tons CO<sub>2</sub> per acre of forest cleared.<sup>2</sup> Using

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID). (2021, February 23). Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/egrid/data-explorer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Greenhouse Gases Equivalencies Calculator - Calculations and References." EPA, Environmental Protection Agency, 18 Dec. 2018, www.epa.gov/energy/greenhouse-gases-equivalencies-calculator-calculations-and-references.



this emission factor assumes that all of the carbon stored by the forest is released and no carbon is stored by re-vegetation of the Project area. This loss occurs only once and is accounted for in the first year of the Project's life. Due to the clearing of this forested area, the Project will cause 5,519 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub> to be released.


The removal of trees also results in a loss of future carbon sequestration because if the forest was not cleared, the trees would have continued to store additional carbon in the forest carbon stocks as they grew each year, in addition to the carbon the forest has already stored at the time of clearing. This value was calculated using a USEPA conversion factor of 0.85 metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>/acre/year.<sup>2</sup> This emission factor is based on the average amount of carbon sequestered by U.S. forests in 2016. This loss will occur annually and is estimated to be approximately 37 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub> per year. Over the 40-year life of the Project, 1,482 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub> will not be sequestered.

# **Net Carbon Impact**

Over its operational life, the Project will displace 249,633 metric tons of CO2e and result in the loss of 7,001 metric tons of carbon sequestered. Therefore, the Project has a positive impact through a net reduction of 242,632 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub>e. It will take 409 days for the Project to offset its carbon debt from the operational phase of the Project.

Figure 1 - Direct Emissions, Net Carbon Impact (Solar vs Natural Gas Output)

**Net Carbon Impact** 





# **Lifecycle Analysis Discussion**

The National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) recently published a harmonization of life cycle assessments (LCAs) of electricity generation technologies, including solar and natural gas<sup>3</sup>. NREL reviewed more than 2,100 published LCA studies on utility-scale electricity generation. The studies were screened by multiple experts using strict criteria of quality, relevance, and transparency. As a result, less than 15% of the 2,100 studies were included in the harmonization effort. The harmonization effort adjusted the estimates from published peer-reviewed literature to a consistent set of methods and assumptions specific to each technology. Harmonization did not significantly change the median value of the published data but did reduce the variability of GHG emissions estimates.

The harmonized studies employed a 'cradle-to-grave' approach to the LCA of crystalline silicon utility-scale solar panel arrays and electricity produced from conventionally produced natural gas. The LCAs included GHGs directly emitted during electricity generation, as well as indirect emissions from upstream processes such as material extraction, transportation, and plant construction, and from downstream processes such as plant decommissioning, recycling of materials, and waste disposal. The LCAs did not consider the removal of trees from a project site, as that is a site-specific factor.

The harmonized lifecycle greenhouse gas emission value of crystalline silicon solar panels ranged from 26 grams CO<sub>2</sub>e per kWh (g CO<sub>2</sub>e/kWh) to 183 g CO<sub>2</sub>e/kWh with a median value of 45 g CO<sub>2</sub>e/kWh. The Project is anticipated to produce 702,011 MWh of power over its 40-year life. Therefore, based on the NREL harmonization median value, the Project will result in 31,590,000 kilograms (kg) CO<sub>2</sub>e over its lifetime. The harmonized lifecycle greenhouse gas emission value of electricity produced from conventionally produced natural gas ranged from 310 g CO<sub>2</sub>e/kWh to 990 g CO<sub>2</sub>e/kWh with a median value of 450 g CO<sub>2</sub>e/kWh and 670 g CO<sub>2</sub>e/kWh for combined cycle plants and combustion turbine plants, respectively. It is assumed for comparison purposes that a natural gas plant would produce an equivalent amount of power over a 40-year operational life as the Project. Based on the median value, a combined cycle natural gas plant would result in 315,905,000 kg CO<sub>2</sub>e over its lifetime, and a combustion turbine plant would result in 470,347,000 kg CO<sub>2</sub>e over its lifetime.

The lowest estimated lifecycle value for electricity produced from conventionally produced natural gas is higher (310 g CO<sub>2</sub>e/kWh) than the highest estimated lifecycle value for electricity produced from crystalline silicon solar panels (183 g CO<sub>2</sub>e/kWh). From an LCA perspective based on NREL harmonized numbers, the solar panel array would result in 90% fewer CO<sub>2</sub>e emissions compared to a combined cycle natural gas plant and 93% fewer CO<sub>2</sub>e emissions than a combustion turbine natural gas plant, as shown in Figure 2.


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "Lifecycle Assessment Harmonization." NREL, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, https://www.nrel.gov/analysis/life-cycle-assessment.html.



Figure 2 - Lifecycle Assessment of Natural Gas vs. Solar Electricity Production

# Lifecycle GHG Emissions

Solar vs. Natural Gas Power Generation



Median values noted in bold

The NREL harmonization studies did not include the loss of carbon sequestration due to land use changes. It is conservatively assumed that the construction of a natural gas power plant would result in no land use changes. If the land use change impacts associated with the Project (described above in the Loss of Carbon Sequestration section) are added to the NREL LCA number for the Project, then the Project would result in 37,109,000 kg CO<sub>2</sub>e over its lifetime. This value is 90% lower than the NREL LCA number for a combined cycle natural gas plant and 92% lower than the NREL LCA number for a combustion turbine natural gas plant.

### HDR CARBON DEBT ANALYSIS CALCULATIONS

Client: Silicon Ranch

Project Name: North Stonington Solar Project

Date: 05.19.2021

#### Loss of Carbon Sequestration - Annual

Carbon Sequestered by US Forest <sup>1</sup>		Loss of Carbon Sequestration
Forested Project Area (acres)	(metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> /acre forest/year)	(metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> /year)
43.6	0.85	37.06

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Source: "Greenhouse Gases Equivalencies Calculator - Calculations and References." EPA, Environmental Protection Agency, 18 Dec. 2018, www.epa.gov/energy/greenhouse-gases-equivalencies-calculator-calculations-and-references. This factor represents an average for U.S. forests in 2016 and may change in the future if the carbon stock significantly changes.

#### **Avoided Emissions - Annual**

Annual Production (MWh/year)	State	Output Emission Rate <sup>1</sup> (lb/MWh)	Avoided Emissions (metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> e/year)
19,320	Connecticut	783.96	6,870.12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The output emission rate reflects the average emission rate from natural gas electricity production in Connecticut, as calculated by the EPA's Emissions and Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID) for the year 2019.

#### **Net Avoided Emissions - Annual**

Avoided Emissions	Loss of Carbon Sequestration	Net Avoided Emissions <sup>1</sup>
(metric tons CO₂e/year)	(metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> /year)	(metric tons CO₂e/year)
6,870.12	37.06	6,833.06

<sup>1</sup> Net Avoided Emissions represents the difference between Avoided Emissions and Total Loss of Carbon Sequestration. A positive number indicates a net reduction; a negative number indicates a net increase.

#### **Loss of Sequestered Carbon - Land Clearing**

	Carbon Sequestration Lost Due to	Carbon Sequestration Lost Due to Converting Land
Forested Project Area	Conversion of Forest to Clearing <sup>1</sup>	Use from Forested to Project Use
(acres)	(metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> /acre)	(metric tons CO₂e)
43.6	126.57	5,518.60

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Source: "Greenhouse Gases Equivalencies Calculator - Calculations and References." EPA, Environmental Protection Agency, 18 Dec. 2018, www.epa.gov/energy/greenhouse-gases-equivalencies-calculator-calculations-and-references. This factor represents the one-time loss of sequestered carbon in aboveground, belowground, dead wood, and litter biomass, as well as mineral soils. The factor assumes no carbon is sequestered by vegetation on cleared land (such as grass).

#### **Avoided Emissions - Project Lifetime**

Project Lifetime Production		Output Emission Rate <sup>1</sup>	Avoided Emissions
(MWh)	State	(lb/MWh)	(metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> e/Project Life)
702,011	Connecticut	783.96	249,632.62

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The output emission rate reflects the average emission rate from natural gas electricity production in Connecticut, as calculated by the EPA's Emissions and Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID) for the year 2019.

### **Net Avoided Emissions - Lifetime**

Avoided Emissions		Total Loss of Carbon Sequestration <sup>1</sup>	Net Avoided Emissions <sup>2</sup>	
Project Lifespan (years)	(metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> e/Project Life)	(metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> /Project Life)	(metric tons CO₂e/Project Life)	
40	249,632.62	7,001.00	242,631.63	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Total Loss of Carbon Sequestration represents but the one time carbon loss resulting from land clearing and the annual loss from incremental forest sequestration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Net Avoided Emissions represents the difference between Avoided Emissions and Total Loss of Carbon Sequestration. A positive number indicates a net reduction; a negative number indicates a net increase.

# HDR CARBON DEBT ANALYSIS DATA INPUTS

Client: Silicon Ranch

Project Name: North Stonington Solar Project

Date: 05.19.2021

## **Project Information**

Project City State Zip Code
North Stonington CT 06359

Energy Output in Year 1 of Operation

19,320 MWh

Energy Output in Project Lifetime

702,011 MWh

**Expected Useful Life** 

40 years

Acres of Forested Land Removed due to Project Construction

43.6 acres

## HDR CARBON DEBT ANALYSIS CALCULATIONS

Client: Silicon Ranch

Project Name: North Stonington Solar Project

Date: 05.19.2021

### LCA GHG Emissions - Crystalline Silicon Solar Panels

LCA Value	Grams CO2e per kWh	Lifecycle 'Cradle to Grave' Emissions (metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> /lifetime)
Low	26	18,252
Median	45	31,590
High	183	128,468

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Source: Lifecycle Assessment Harmonization." NREL, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, https://www.nrel.gov/analysis/life-cycle-

### LCA GHG Emissions - Combined Cycle Natural Gas

LCA Value	Grams CO2e per kWh	Lifecycle 'Cradle to Grave' Emissions (metric tons CO₂/lifetime)
Low	420	294,845
Median	450	315,905
High	480	336,965


#### LCA GHG Emissions - Combustion Turbine Natural Gas

LCA Value	Grams CO2e per kWh	Lifecycle 'Cradle to Grave' Emissions (metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> /lifetime)
Low	570	400,146
Median	670	470,347
High	750	526,508

LCA Value	Solar	Natural Gas Combined Cycle	Natural Gas Combustion Turbine	% Reduction
Low	18,252	294,845	400,146	-93.8%
Median	31,590	315,905	470,347	-90.0%
High	128,468	336,965	526,508	-61.9%

### Lifecycle GHG Emissions

Solar vs. Natural Gas Power Generation



Median values noted in bold

# HDR CARBON DEBT ANALYSIS CALCULATIONS

Client: Silicon Ranch

Project Name: North Stonington Solar Project

Date: 05.19.2021

Year	Annual Output (MWh)	Avoided Emissions	Land Use Impact	Net Annual Impact	Net Cumulative Impact
1	19,320	-6870.123	5555.66	-1314.47	-1314.47
2	19223.4	-6835.772	37.06	-6798.71	-8113.18
3	19127.28	-6801.594	37.06	-6764.53	-14877.71
4	19031.65	-6767.586	37.06	-6730.53	-21608.24
5	18936.49	-6733.748	37.06	-6696.69	-28304.92
6	18841.81	-6700.079	37.06	-6663.02	-34967.94
7	18747.6	-6666.579	37.06	-6629.52	-41597.46
8	18653.86	-6633.246	37.06	-6596.19	-48193.65
9	18560.59	-6600.079	37.06	-6563.02	-54756.67
10	18467.79	-6567.079	37.06	-6530.02	-61286.69
11	18375.45	-6534.244	37.06	-6497.18	-67783.87
12	18283.57	-6501.572	37.06	-6464.51	-74248.38
13	18192.15	-6469.065	37.06	-6432.00	-80680.39
14	18101.19	-6436.719	37.06	-6399.66	-87080.05
15	18010.69	-6404.536	37.06	-6367.48	-93447.52
16	17920.63	-6372.513	37.06	-6335.45	-99782.97
17	17831.03	-6340.65	37.06	-6303.59	-106086.56
18	17741.87	-6308.947	37.06	-6271.89	-112358.45
19	17653.16	-6277.402	37.06	-6240.34	-118598.79
20	17564.9	-6246.015	37.06	-6208.96	-124807.75
21	17477.07	-6214.785	37.06	-6177.73	-130985.47
22	17389.69	-6183.711	37.06	-6146.65	-137132.13
23	17302.74	-6152.793	37.06	-6115.73	-143247.86
24	17216.23	-6122.029	37.06	-6084.97	-149332.83
25	17130.15	-6091.419	37.06	-6054.36	-155387.19
26	17044.5	-6060.962	37.06	-6023.90	-161411.09
27	16959.27	-6030.657	37.06	-5993.60	-167404.68
28	16874.48	-6000.504	37.06	-5963.44	-173368.13
29	16790.1	-5970.501	37.06	-5933.44	-179301.57
30	16706.15	-5940.648	37.06	-5903.59	-185205.16
31	16622.62	-5910.945	37.06	-5873.89	-191079.04
32	16539.51	-5881.391	37.06	-5844.33	-196923.37
33	16456.81	-5851.984	37.06	-5814.92	-202738.30
34	16374.53	-5822.724	37.06	-5785.66	-208523.96
35	16292.66	-5793.61	37.06	-5756.55	-214280.51
36	16211.19	-5764.642	37.06	-5727.58	-220008.09
37	16130.14	-5735.819	37.06	-5698.76	-225706.85
38	16049.49	-5707.14	37.06	-5670.08	-231376.93
39	15969.24	-5678.604	37.06	-5641.54	-237018.48
40	15889.39	-5650.211	37.06	-5613.15	-242631.63
ifetime Output:	702.011	-249.633	7.001	-242.632	

Lifetime Output: 702,011 -249,633 7,001 -242,632